

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,265	09/28/2000	Tyrone Floryanzia	50325-0102	6713
7590 01/04/2005			EXAMINER	
Hickman Palermo Truong & Becker, LLP			LIPMAN, JACOB	
San Jose, CA 95125-5106			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2134		

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/676,265	FLORYANZIA, TYRONE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jacob Lipman	2134			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4; 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicatio	n Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 July 2004</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) 🔀 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F 6) 🔲 Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/676,265 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Due to a typographical error in the final office action, this new corrected version has been written, and the period for response has been restarted.

Oath/Declaration

1. Applicant has not given a post office address in the oath or declaration. A new oath or declaration with applicant's signature providing a complete post office address is required.

Drawings

2. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3, 4, 9, 11, 18, 19, 22, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. The term "acceptable interval" in claims 4, 9, 19, 22, and 27 is a relative term, which renders the claims indefinite. The term "acceptable interval" is not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite

Art Unit: 2134

degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

6. The term "H.235 ClearToken" in claims 3, 11, 18, and 26 is indefinite, since it is a trade name. If a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Ex parte Simpson, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982) (MPEP 2173.05(u)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 or 103

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-41, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over admitted prior art.

The claimed invention, as described by the specification, seems to be the same as the admitted prior art, with the substitution of not encrypting the authentication

Art Unit: 2134

information, as is done in the prior art. Since the claims state comprising, further encrypting the information would read on the claims.

Further, the examiner takes official notice that not encrypting information will lower processing time and security. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to not encrypt the authentication information when a lower level of security is necessary, to lower the processing time.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to applicant's extended argument with regard to the missing post office address in the oath, the examiner mistakenly cited the wrong section of the MPEP. The fact remains that the post office address section in the oath has been left blank. A new oath with the post office address section filled in with at least "same as above" is required.

With regard to applicant's argument with regard to the labeling of figure 1 as prior art, the examiner points out that the drawing is fully described in the background of the art, and is thus admitted prior art.

With regard to applicant's argument that H.235 ClearToken is not a trademark, the examiner amended his rejection to say "trade name". The fact remains that this term might change with time, and makes the claims indefinite.

With regard to applicant's argument on the prior art rejections, they have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant first argues that the examiner did not

Application/Control Number: 09/676,265 Page 5

Art Unit: 2134

perform a search, which is an incorrect assumption. Applicant then argues that the section titled as "Background of the Invention" should not be read as admitted prior art, but does not traverse the examiner's statement by indicating that the background is new art. The examiner reads the entire disclosure and claims as outlining an existing method, and just removing the ClearToken encryption, and that is what the examiner has stated as his rejection. If this is not the case, the examiner would like to see it in the official record, along with some explanation of what is regarded as new. The examiner has included some art that describes H.232 and H.235 Cisco gateway security systems. The initialed IDS that was sent with the first office action seems to have not been scanned into the record. The examiner would appreciate applicant sending a copy of it in the next reply.

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,265

Art Unit: 2134

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-3738. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 571-272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

GREGORY MORSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Lg QA 12/22/04